MINUTES OF THE ARANSAS COUNTY
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
JULY 7, 2009

On the 7th day of June the Stormwater Advisory Committee met at 1:30 PM at the Aransas County Service Center, 1931 FM 2165, Rockport, Texas with the following members present: De McLallen, Pat McKelvey, Charles Smith, Frank Reilly, Ron Outen and Russell Cole. Earl Mathew, from the screening sub-committee, was present. Representing the County’s SWM Consultant team were John Michael and Dave Sullivan. Representing the County Engineering staff were David Reid and David Vyoral. Also present were Tom Blazek, Dennis King and Paul Lippke.

1. Meeting was opened and a quorum was declared by De McLallen.

2. Minutes from the June 5, 2009 meeting were approved.

3. Dave Sullivan presented a report on the Open House held on June 18, 2009. The following observations were discussed:
   a. Mr. McLallen and John Michael thought the format was good and would not change anything.
   b. Pat McKelvey was disappointed in the turn-out and questioned whether a different time and/or day of the week would be better.
   c. Frank Reilly suggested future meetings would have more substance (based on being further into the plan) and would draw a larger crowd.
   d. David Vyoral if the meeting could be timed after a large rain event than interest would be greater.
   e. De thought the event was successful and suggested County staff review citizen comments and contact as appropriate.

4. A discussion of the Interim Site development and Construction Management Guidelines generated the following comments:
   a. Mr. Reilly thought the Guidelines were too lengthy and complicated. Wondered if tracts of different sizes should have different requirements. Suggested examples are included in the document.
   b. Paul Lippke observed that the requirements were somewhat ambiguous. However, the Interim Stormwater Quality Design Criteria (not yet released for review) helped to clarify. Suggested the County proceed slowly with implementation and also have a development engineer review document.
   c. Commissioner Smith is concerned about the timing. He pointed out that this was an interim document, an improvement to the existing policy and suggested the County needed new guidelines in place quickly to protect the County against undesirable development.
   d. Mr. McLallen stated that the document was consistent with the County objectives, but also found it confusing. The document fails to require specific approval from the County and was concerned those requirements were too onerous for small lot developments.
   e. Mr. Smith suggested that previously platted single-family lots be exempt, but construction BMPs would still be required.
f. Mr. Reilly and Tom Blazek agreed that they did not want water quality requirements waived for single-family developments.
g. Mr. Michael suggested that he, Mr. Lippke and David Reid meet to work thru these issues and revise document within two weeks.

5. Mr. Reid reported that the initial work authorization was approximately 70% complete and 2 to 3 weeks behind schedule. He had no issues with the work that has been completed.

6. Mr. Reid suggested that if the overall project was to keep to the schedule, NEI should be authorized to proceed. NEI presented the time line for Work Authorization #2, which includes phase 1 tasks that were not previously authorized and phase 2 tasks. Mr. Smith moved that Work Authorization #2 be recommended for approval by the Commissioner’s Court. Ron Outen seconded and the motion was approved.

Meeting was adjourned at 2:55 PM

David J. Reid, P.E.
Assistant County Engineer